

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,878	09/12/2003	Karnail S. Atwal	HA726 DIV	6964
23914	12/02/2005		EXAM	INER
STEPHEN B. DAVIS			RAO, DEEPAK R	
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY			ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
PATENT DEPARTMENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 4000			1624	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-4000			DATE MAII ED: 12/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Amulia - Alam Na	Annii
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence		10/660,878	ATWAL ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Deepak Rao	1624
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICI - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to be to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)☐ 3)☐ 3	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Some</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Dispositio	on of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) 61-68,78 and 81-85 Are pending in a) Of the above claim(s) 64,65 and 80 Are versions (s) 61-63, 66-68, 78, 81-85 Are rejected (claim(s) 61-63, 66-68, 78, 81-85 Are rejected (claim(s) are subjected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine (s) are drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a control of the drawing(s) filed on are subjected to by the Examine (s) are subjected to by the Examine (s) filed on according to the drawing sheet(s) including the correct (s) and or declaration is objected to by the Examine (s	vithdrawn from consideration. d. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Idrawing(s) is objected to by the Idrawing(s) is objected in abeyance.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) A a) C 2	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents The priority documents of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priorical documents of th	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
A44 •			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 21, 2005 has been entered.

Claims 61-68, 78 and 81-85 are pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 64, 65 and 80 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected species. The non elected species and the generic subject matter drawn to the non elected species from claims 61-63, 66-79 and 81-83 are also withdrawn from further consideration.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections:

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,878

Art Unit: 1624

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 61-63, 66-68, 78 and 81-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuda et al., EP 217,142, in view of applicant's submission. The reference teaches pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine compounds useful as pharmaceutical therapeutic agents, see formula (I) in page 1 and the species in pages 35-50. The compounds are taught to be useful in the treatment of arrhythmia, cerebral diseases, etc., see page 21. The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting a specific species that are not disclosed in the reference. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select any of the species of the genus taught by the reference, including those instantly claimed, because the skilled chemist would have the reasonable expectation that any of the species of the genus would have similar properties and, thus, the same use as taught for the genus as a whole i.e., as

Art Unit: 1624

therapeutic agents for the treatment of arrhythmias. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to select the claimed compounds from the genus in the reference since such compounds would have been suggested by the reference as a whole. It has been held that a prior art disclosed genus of useful compounds is sufficient to render prima facie obvious a species falling within a genus. *In re Susi*, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423, 425 (CCPA 1971), followed by the Federal Circuit in *Merck & Co. v. Biocraft Laboratories*, 847 F.2d 804, 10 USPQ 2d 1843, 1846 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

Alternatively, the instantly claimed compounds are structural analogs or homologs of the reference compounds. See the species of compound no. 156 (in page 46). The instant claims exclude reference disclosed compound, see the proviso statement "provided that Z^{5*} and Z^{6*} do not together form unsubstituted piperidinyl". The instant claims, however, include compounds wherein the piperidinyl is substituted by say alkyl, e.g., methyl. Therefore, the difference between the instantly claimed compounds and the reference compounds is H vs. CH₃ and it is well established that compounds that differ by a –CH₂ group are structural homologs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the reference compounds to prepare the structural homolog. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare the instantly claimed compounds because such structurally homologous compounds are expected to possess similar properties. It has been held that compounds that are structurally homologous to prior art compounds are *prima facie* obvious, absent a showing of unexpected results. *In re Hass*, 60 USPQ 544 (CCPA 1944); *In re Henze*, 85 USPQ 261 (CCPA 1950).

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deepak Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-0672. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson, can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deepak Rao

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624

November 28, 2005